UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/619,892 | 07/15/2003 | Jessica Elizabeth LeMay | 460.2221USQ | 7326 |
| | 7590 02/08/201 . RUGGIERO, ESQ. | EXAMINER | | |
| OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIÈRO & PERLE, L.L.P. 10th FLOOR ONE LANDMARK SQUARE | | | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| STAMFORD, (| CT 06901-2682 | 3764 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/08/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/619,892 | LEMAY ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| LYNNE ANDERSON | 3764 | | |

| | LYNNE ANDERSON | 3764 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar rit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orio | of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co | sideration and/or search (see NC v); er form for appeal by materially re | TE below); educing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.114. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 6 and 41.33(a)). 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment (I | , |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-13,15-20,25,31,34,37,44 and 46-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ill be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | · | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| , | n condition for allowand | ce because. |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | /Lynne Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3764 | 4 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new combinations of limitations because claims that previously depended from claim 11, and not from claim 12, now also include the limitations of claim 12. Additionally, the proposed amendment is not considered to reduce or simplify issue for appeal.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that modifying the applicator of Voss in view of Wada would produce a small diameter portion and root end of a gripper region having a length greater than 45% of the overall length of the cylinder, it is noted that, as stated in the Final Action dated 24 September 2010, Wada is not relied upon for the specific teaching of the dimensions of the finger grip region, but rather for the teaching of a gripping region having a flared shoulder to create a depressed area that is easily gripped. Voss contemplates a tampon applicator intended to be gripped at its distal end, at ring 18, as shown in figure 1. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, when modifying the applicator of Voss in view of the teaching of Wada, would locate the finger grip region only at the distal end of the applicator, and not be motivated to provide such an elongated region..